| Notice of Allowability | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|-----------------|
| | 10/589,075 | SCOTT ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael LaPage | 2886 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appearable All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIPLY of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to appeal brief filed on 1 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED or other appropriate comm GHTS . This application is and MPEP 1308. | in this application. If not included nunication will be mailed in due coul | se. THIS |
| 2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,5-12,14-16,19-21 and 24</u> . | | | |
| 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents | been received. been received in Applicat | ion No | from the |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm | IENT of this application. | | |
| INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | | | 0 2 |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus | st be submitted. | | |
| (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers | • | ew (PTO-948) attached | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date | | | |
| (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date | | | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t | | | k) of |
| DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | | | the |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 5 □ Nagarasi | ofenned Detent Application | |
| 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | nformal Patent Application | |
| Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ✓ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 15th September 2010 | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date <u>20101101</u> . s Amendment/Comment | |
| Paper No./Mail Date 15 th September 2010 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8. 🛛 Examiner' | s Statement of Reasons for Allowan | ice |
| or biological material | 9. 🔲 Other | <u>_</u> . | |
| | | | |

Art Unit: 2886

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Stanley Spooner on November 5th 2010.

The application has been amended as follows:

- a. In claim 1, lines 15-16, "two or more beams and" has been changed to --two or more diffracted beams and--.
- b. Claim 13 has been cancelled.
- c. In claim 19, lines 5-6, "from said object to have a planar wavefront if the object has said specified shape, a final beam" has been changed to --from said object to compensate for non-planarity introduced by said object having said specified shape, to provide a final beam--.
- d. In claim 19, lines 7, "by said shaping step;" has been changed to --by said shaping step, said final beam having a planar wavefront if the object has said specified shape;--
- e. In claim 19, line 10, "two or more beams;" has been changed to –two or more diffracted beams;--.
- f. In claim 24, lines 16-17 "two or more beams" has been changed to –two or more diffracted beams--.

Art Unit: 2886

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-16, 19-21 and 24 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to claims 1, 19 and 24, the prior arts of record taken alone or in combination with any other references fail to teach or suggest the claimed method or apparatus where at least one wavefront shaping means, optically disposed between the radiation means and the inspecting means for shaping the final beam to have a substantially planar wavefront when said object has said specified shape, and said final beam comprises a beam which has been both transmitted by or reflected from said object and shaped by said wavefront shaping means, said at least one wavefront shaping means is arranged to compensate for non-planarity introduced by said object having said specified shape, and said inspecting means is arranged to determine any departure of the wavefront of the final beam from planarity, wherein said inspecting means comprises: beamsplitting means for **splitting** the final beam into two or more diffracted beams and for directing said two or more beams to laterally displaced locations; and. The closest reference of record Kanoh does disclose a majority of the distinguishing features above as can be seen in the final rejection dated 01/15/2010 however Kanoh fails to disclose where the beams are diffracted to laterally displaced locations. The interferometric apparatus of Kanoh relies on the basic function of overlapping two wavefronts in order to form an interference pattern in the overlapping space of each wavefront. Diffracting the beams of Kanoh to laterally displaced locations via a diffraction grating would remove any overlapping (via the diffraction orders) and

Art Unit: 2886

would destroy any type of interferometric measurement taken in the system of Kanoh. Therefore with the above amendment the instant claims overcome the primary reference and any secondary references of record. Similarly none of the references cited in the Japanese Search report overcome the new amended limitations of the above cited claims.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL LAPAGE whose telephone number is (571)270-3833. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 7:30AM-5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur Chowdhury can be reached on 571-272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2886

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael LaPage/ Examiner, Art Unit 2886

/TARIFUR R CHOWDHURY/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2886